

**MS Australia  
Peer Review Commentary Report**

**Thank you for agreeing to review this application for funding.  
How this form will be used:**

- The Research Management Council, (RMC) MS Australia’s Scientific Committee, will use your comments and ratings to assist in the selection of applications to be funded.
- Your comments, **both positive and less positive**, are very useful feedback to the applicant.
- This Peer Review Report, will be passed back the applicant to enable them to provide Rebuttal comments which will also be taken into consideration by the RMC.

- **Rating Guide. See below or otherwise use “E” excellent “G” Good “P” Poor. Please follow directions contained within the individual rating cell.**

1= Poor	4= Good (top 30% internationally)	6= Excellent (10% internationally)
2= Marginal	5= Very good (25% internationally)	7= Outstanding (5% internationally)
3= Fair		

<b>Question number</b>	<b>Peer review comments This section will expand as required.</b>
1	<b>RELEVANCE of the project to multiple sclerosis?</b>
2	<b>SIGNIFICANCE, VALUE and NOVELTY of the hypothesis?</b> Please include any comments in this cell
3	<b>Evidence of preliminary data?</b> Please include any comments in this cell
4	<b>Likelihood of completion of the project within the given time?</b> Please include any comments in this cell
5	<b>Comment on the recent research track record and productivity of the applicants?</b> Please include any comments in this cell
6	<b>In its current form do you feel that this application is fundable?</b>
6a	<b>If this application is not fundable, are there ways that the research could be improved?</b> Please describe in bullet point format.
6b	<b>Even if you think this application is fundable is there ways that the research could be improved?</b> Please describe in bullet point format.
7	<b>Overall rating of the application.</b> Please include any comments in this cell